

JOB NO. ML17/090 (DL17/006)

OCTOBER, 2018

**HALL CONTRACTING PTY LTD
LEVEL ONE EARTHWORKS COMPLIANCE REPORT
FOR EARTHWORKS FILLING OPERATIONS
FRASER ROAD AND LOTS 9 TO 16
STAGE 23 NORTH HARBOUR BURPENGARY**



Maroochydore Office
Job: ML17/090 (DL17-006)
Ref: 2445 Rev0
Author: D Taylor

12th October 2018

Hall Contracting Pty Ltd
PO Box 519
Buderim QLD 4556

ATTENTION: MR NELSON RIDDLE
Email: NelsonRiddle@hallcontracting.com.au

Dear Sir,

**RE: LEVEL ONE EARTHWORKS COMPLIANCE REPORT FOR
EARTHWORKS FILLING OPERATIONS –
FRASERS ROAD AND LOTS 9 TO 16
STAGE 23 NORTH HARBOUR BURPENGARY**

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 General.....	2
1.2 Previous Earthworks	2
1.3 The Project	2
2.0 THE BRIEF	3
3.0 METHODOLOGY	3
3.1 Stripped Surface Assessment	3
3.2 Filling Operations.....	4
4.0 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE	6
5.0 EXCLUSIONS	6
6.0 LIMITATIONS	6

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

This report summarises the results of Level 1 Inspections, Field Testing and associated Compaction Compliance testing of Earthworks Filling operations constructed to form residential Lots 9 to 16 and embankments below subgrade along Frasers Road at Stage 23 North Harbour, Burpengary (The Site).

Our involvement with the Earthworks Filling operations at The Site was between 5th June 2017 and 23rd June 2017.

The work was commissioned by Mr Tom Fox representing Hall Contracting (The Client).

Earthworks were carried out by The Client.

1.2 Previous Earthworks

As far as we have been made aware or what could be determined onsite, no previous earthworks have been carried out at The Site prior to our involvement.

1.3 The Project

The site is bounded by undeveloped land to the north, east, south and south west and existing developments to the north west. KN Group earthworks drawings 16-234-04 indicate the thickness and extent of the filling operations to be constructed at the Site. This drawing is considered to be a reasonable indication of the actual filling operations constructed. This report covers the fill as shown on this plan.

The actual fill thickness on each Lot should be obtained from the Developer as a Lot Disclosure Plan.

Photo 1 – Aerial View View of the Site (Nearmap 26th July 2018)



2.0 THE BRIEF

Our Brief from the Client was limited to:

- Level 1 Inspection and Testing of the placement and compaction of bulk earthworks fill materials in accordance with AS3798-2007 – “Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments”.
- Compaction Control Testing in accordance with AS1289 – Testing of Soils for Engineering Purposes and at frequencies defined in AS3798-2007 Table 8.1.
- Notes on KN Group Drawing 16-234-25.
- Moreton Bay Regional Council requirements.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

Earthworks Inspections and Testing were carried out on the stripped and exposed ground surfaces and during the placement and compaction of fill materials forming residential building platforms and embankments below subgrade.

Field and laboratory testing included a walk over assessments of the existing ground conditions, proof roll testing of the stripped surfaces, observations of filling and compaction activities and field density testing using a nuclear soil moisture density gauge and Hilf Density compactions.

3.1 Stripped Surface Assessment

The Site was observed to be cleared of all debris, trees and topsoil. Any visible organic matter, loose soils, silty soils, and any over wet areas were compacted or removed to depths exposing a suitable fill foundation.

Typical materials forming the foundation for new fill are summarised below:

- Natural –Sandy CLAY (CI-CH), at least stiff, medium to high plasticity, fine to medium grained sands, orange brown and moist.
- Natural - Silty SAND (SM), at least medium dense, fine to coarse grained sand, low plasticity fines, grey and moist.

The stripped surface was proof rolled using loaded water truck carrying out multiple passes. When no discernable movement or vertical deflection was observed, the stripped surface was assessed to be suitable for support and placement of new fill.

Photo 2 – View of Stripped Surface Prior to Placement of Fill



3.2 Filling Operations

Fill materials were sourced on site and typically comprised:

- Sandy CLAY(CI), medium plasticity, fine to medium grained sand, red brown

Placement, moisture conditioning and compaction of fill materials were carried out using the following plant:

- 815 Compactor
- Water Truck
- D6 Dozer
- 30t Excavators
- Water Cart
- 8t Pad Foot Roller
- Grader
- Scrapers
- Articulated Dump Trucks

Photo 3 – View of Filling Operations



All fill was placed in thin layers appropriate for the above plant and compacted by carrying out several passes (up and back). The fill was moisture conditioned at the fill source and during placement and thoroughly mixed to achieve moisture contents suitable for compaction. Materials containing excessive amounts of silts or deleterious materials such as sticks, or construction debris were sorted to remove contaminants prior to placement, or rejected for use.

Sloping areas requiring filling were benched and continually keyed into the slope prior to and during placement. Some oversize particles may remain in the fill profile however are not considered to have any consequence on the performance of the fill as a mass. This method of placement and compaction was observed to be consistent for the entire thickness of fill.

Field density tests and laboratory compactions were carried out on the bulk earthworks fill materials in accordance with Table 5.1 and 8.1 of AS3798-2007 (Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments) and tested to AS1289 test methods (Testing of Soils for Engineering Purposes). Testing achieved the required Specification of 95% of the Hilf Density.

Fill placed and compacted at measured density ratios less than 95% were tyned, moisture conditioned and re-compacted until the required specification was achieved. Retesting (if required) was carried out using Random Stratified locations within the reworked areas.

Test reports are attached as Appendix A.

Test Locations are attached as Appendix B. Test locations and levels were not obtained by survey and therefore should only be considered as approximate.

4.0 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

Our representative observed all the relevant earthworks operations including the stripped surfaces, filling operations and carried out field density tests in accordance with the required Standard. It is confirmed that Level 1 Supervision has been carried out on the earthworks fill at this project.

The fill and the top 150mm of the existing ground at The Site can be classed as Controlled as defined in AS2870 (Residential Slabs and Footings).

Controlled Fill (Level 1) provides an overview that the earthworks specification has been met. There are sites where long-term settlements of controlled fill can occur. Total and differential settlements can be expected where fill has been placed over soft and compressible soils and where the thickness of controlled fill varies significantly.

5.0 EXCLUSIONS

This statement does not include any top soil, which may be placed for use as lot dressing or any other subsequent earthworks or trench backfill after 23rd June 2017.

Assessments of batter stability, material quality such as soaked CBR and site classifications are excluded from this commission. The stability of any fill batters in the long term must take account of the variable materials used for the construction of the fill platforms and all surface loads including traffic loads near the crest of any batters.

Our on-site attendance specifically excludes assessments of fill material quality and engineering properties that are outside the requirements of AS.3798 - 2007, including soil or fill reactivity and soaked CBR values. We note that the fill materials comprise clay soils, which may result in unfavourable site classifications for individual lots and low subgrade design strengths.

Footings and ground slabs for any structures constructed over natural soils or compacted fill should be designed to accommodate the characteristic ground surface movements and settlement potential. Assessments of these design parameters are beyond the scope of this Report.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

This Report has been prepared by Morrison Geotechnic Pty Ltd (**Morrison Geotechnic**), and may include contributions from Morrison Geotechnic's officers and employees, sub-contractors, sub-consultants or agents (**Contributors**).

This Report is for the sole benefit and use of Hall Contracting (**Client**) for the sole purpose of providing geotechnical advice and recommendations in respect of the proposed residential development, North Harbour Stage 23 (**Project**). The Report is only intended to address those issues expressly described in the scope of work in the Proposal Letter and this Report.

This Report should not be used or relied upon for any other purpose without Morrison Geotechnic's prior written consent. Morrison Geotechnic and the Contributors do not accept any responsibility or liability in any way whatsoever for the use or reliance of this Report by anyone other than the Client or by anyone for any purpose other than that for which it has been prepared.

Except with Morrison Geotechnic's prior written consent, this report may not be:

- (a) released to any other party, whether in whole or in part (other than to the Client's officers, employees and advisers);
- (b) used or relied upon by any other party; or
- (c) filed with any Governmental agency or other person or quoted or referred to in any public document.

Morrison Geotechnic and the Contributors, do not accept any liability or responsibility whatsoever for, or in respect of, any use or reliance upon this Report by any third party. Morrison Geotechnic is not obliged to enter into discussions with any third party in respect of this Report.

The information (including technical information and information obtained through discussions) on which this report is based has been provided by the Client and third parties. Morrison Geotechnic and the Contributors:

- (a) have relied upon and presumed the accuracy of this information;
- (b) have not verified the accuracy or reliability of this information (other than as expressly stated in this Report);
- (c) have not made any independent investigations or enquiries in respect of those matters of which it has no actual knowledge at the time of giving this Report to the Client; and
- (d) make no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or reliability of this information.

Morrison Geotechnic and the Contributors do not accept responsibility or liability for any incorrect assumptions related to this Report. For the avoidance of doubt, this Report:

- (a) cannot predict the ground conditions encountered at any untested location because the ground conditions surrounding a test sampling location (or between any two test sampling locations) may be different from the test samples we have obtained;
- (b) is not an environmental, contamination or hazardous materials assessment; may be invalid, incomplete or inaccurate (including errors in the scope of work, investigation methodology, observations, opinions and advice) where the information provided to Morrison Geotechnic was invalid, incomplete or inaccurate;
- (c) is limited to observations of those parts of the site that were accessible at the time of the field investigation and is not based on observations about areas of the site which were inaccessible to the investigation equipment (including slopes, heavily vegetated areas or service corridors);
- (d) is not a comprehensive representation of the actual site conditions and may only show a reasonable interpretation of conditions encountered at discrete test locations along with general site observations.

No warranty or guarantee, whether express or implied, is made in respect of the geotechnical data, information, advice, opinions and recommendations present in this Report. In recognition of the limited use to be made by the Client of this Report, the Client agrees that, to the maximum extent permitted by law, Morrison Geotechnic and the Contributors shall not be liable for any losses, claims, costs, expenses, damages (whether in statute, in contract or tort for negligence or otherwise) suffered or incurred by the Client or any third party as a result of or in connection with the information, findings, opinions, estimates, recommendations and conclusions provided in the course of this Report. If further information becomes available, or additional assumptions need to be made, Morrison Geotechnic reserves its right to amend this Report.

Should you require any further information regarding the above, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Yours faithfully



M. MORRISON

For and on Behalf of

MORRISON GEOTECHNIC PTY LIMITED

Encl. Appendix A - Test Certificates
Appendix B - Test Location Plan

APPENDIX A

Test Certificates



MORRISON
GEOTECHNIC

Brisbane | Gold Coast | Maroochydore
Unit 1, 35 Limestone Street (PO Box 3063), Darra Q 4076 P (07) 3279 0900 F (07) 3279 0955
ABN: 51 009 878 899
www.morrisongeotech.com.au

Hilf Density Ratio Report

Client :	HALL CONTRACTING PTY LTD	Report Number:	DL17/ 006 - 151
Address :	P O BOX 519, BUDERIM, QLD, 4556	Report Date :	25/ 06/ 2017
Project Name :	EARTHWORKS SUPERVISION	Order Number :	NH03
Project Number :	DL17/ 006	Test Method :	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1
Location:	NORTH HARBOUR, PHASE 3 , BURPENGARY	Page 1 of 1	

Sample Number :	230493	230494	230495
Test Number :	491	492	493
Sampling Method :	-	-	-
Date Sampled :	09/06/2017	09/06/2017	09/06/2017
Date Tested :	09/06/2017	09/06/2017	09/06/2017
Material Type :	Bulk Fill	Bulk Fill	Bulk Fill
Material Source :	On Site	On Site	On Site
Lot Number :	-	-	-
Sample Location :	Stage 23 Bulk Fill Replacement E 499892 N 6999933 0.7m Below Final Level	Stage 23 Bulk Fill Replacement E 499879 N 6999948 0.5m Below Final Level	Stage 23 Bulk Fill Replacement E 499871 N 6999970 0.2m Below Final Level
Test Depth (mm) :	150	150	150
Layer Depth (mm) :	-	-	-
Maximum Size (mm) :	19	19	19
Oversize Wet (%) :	-	-	-
Oversize Dry (%) :	-	-	-
Oversize Density (t/m ³) :	-	-	-
Field Moisture Content (%) :	15.0	13.7	13.9
Hilf MDR Number :	230493	230494	230495
Hilf MDR Method :	AS1289.5.1.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.1.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.1.1 & 5.7.1
Compactive Effort :	Standard	Standard	Standard
Field Density Method :	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1
Moisture Method :	AS1289.2.1.1	AS1289.2.1.1	AS1289.2.1.1
Moisture Ratio (%) :	94	88.5	99
Field Wet Density (t/m ³) :	2.065	2.124	2.071
Optimum Moisture Content (%) :	16.0	15.5	14.0
Moisture Variation :	0.9	1.8	0.1
Peak Converted Wet Density (t/m ³) :	2.033	2.142	2.124
Hilf Density Ratio (%) :	101.5	99.0	97.5
Minimum Specification :	95	95	95
Moisture Specification :	-	-	-
Site Selection :	-	-	-
Soil Description :	-	-	-
Remarks :	-		



Accredited for compliance with ISO/ IEC 17025.

APPROVED SIGNATORY

Liam A Mcdowall

Liam Mcdowall (Brisbane) - Branch Manager
NATA Accreditation Number
1162 / 1169

Document Code RF89-11



MORRISON
GEOTECHNIC

Brisbane | Gold Coast | Maroochydore
Unit 1, 35 Limestone Street (PO Box 3063), Darra Q 4076 P (07) 3279 0900 F (07) 3279 0955
ABN: 51 009 878 899
www.morrisonge.com.au

Hilf Density Ratio Report

Client :	HALL CONTRACTING PTY LTD	Report Number:	DL17/ 006 - 153
Address :	P O BOX 519, BUDERIM, QLD, 4556	Report Date :	05/ 07/ 2017
Project Name :	EARTHWORKS SUPERVISION	Order Number :	NH03
Project Number :	DL17/ 006	Test Method :	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1
Location:	NORTH HARBOUR, PHASE 3 , BURPENGARY	Page 1 of 1	

Sample Number :	230861	230862	230863	
Test Number :	497	498	499	
Sampling Method :	-	-	-	
Date Sampled :	23/06/2017	23/06/2017	23/06/2017	
Date Tested :	23/06/2017	23/06/2017	23/06/2017	
Material Type :	Road Embankment	Road Embankment	Road Embankment	
Material Source :	On Site	On Site	On Site	
Lot Number :	-	-	-	
Sample Location :	Fraser Road (Stage 24) Ch 60 3m Left of Centreline 0.5m Below Final Level	Fraser Road (Stage 24) Ch 120 2m Right of Centreline 0.5m Below Final Level	Fraser Road (Stage 24) Ch 210 1m Right of Centreline 0.35m Below Final Level	
Test Depth (mm) :	150	150	150	
Layer Depth (mm) :	-	-	-	
Maximum Size (mm) :	19	19	19	
Oversize Wet (%) :	-	-	-	
Oversize Dry (%) :	-	-	-	
Oversize Density (t/m ³) :	-	-	-	
Field Moisture Content (%) :	14.7	13.8	13.6	
Hilf MDR Number :	230861	230862	230863	
Hilf MDR Method :	AS1289.5.1.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.1.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.1.1 & 5.7.1	
Compactive Effort :	Standard	Standard	Standard	
Field Density Method :	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1	AS1289.5.8.1 & 5.7.1	
Moisture Method :	AS1289.2.1.1	AS1289.2.1.1	AS1289.2.1.1	
Moisture Ratio (%) :	94	84.5	98	
Field Wet Density (t/m ³) :	2.179	2.184	2.214	
Optimum Moisture Content (%) :	15.6	16.3	13.8	
Moisture Variation :	0.9	2.4	0.2	
Peak Converted Wet Density (t/m ³) :	2.101	2.075	2.231	
Hilf Density Ratio (%) :	103.5	105.0	99.0	
Minimum Specification :	95	95	95	
Moisture Specification :	-	-	-	
Site Selection :	-	-	-	
Soil Description :	-	-	-	
Remarks :	Hilf performed by Maroochydore Laboratory. Corporate Site No. 17071.			



Accredited for compliance with ISO/ IEC 17025.

APPROVED SIGNATORY

Liam A Mcdowall

Liam Mcdowall (Brisbane) - Branch Manager
NATA Accreditation Number
1162 / 1169

Document Code RF89-11

APPENDIX B

Test Location Plan



MORRISON GEOTECHNIC PTY LTD
 ABN: 51 009 878 899

Unit 4/81 Wise Road, Maroochydore Qld 4558
 Ph: 5443 9522 Fax: 5479 1633
 Email: caboolturelab@morrisongeo.com.au

Client	Hall Contracting Pty Ltd		
Project:	North Harbour Phase 3, Burpengary		
Project No:	DL17/006	Drawing No:	Figure 1
Legend:	Approx. Fill Test Location		Date: 9 th October 2018
			Drawing not to Scale